1	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Daniel M. Wall (Cal. Bar No. 102580)	
2	Timothy L. O'Mara (Cal. Bar No. 212731) Kirsten M. Ferguson (Cal. Bar No. 252781	
3	505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000)
4	San Francisco, California 94111-6538 Telephone: 415.391.0600	
5	Facsimile: 415.395.8095 Email: Dan.Wall@lw.com	
6	Email: Tim.Omara@lw.com Email: Kirsten.Ferguson@lw.com	
7	ROBINSON BRADSHAW & HINSON	
8	Nathan C. Chase, Jr. (Cal. Bar No. 247526) 101 North Tryon Street, Suite 1900	
9	Charlotte, North Carolina 28246 Telephone: 704.377.2536	
10	Facsimile: 704.373.3937	
11	Email: NChase@rbh.com	
12	Attorneys for Defendants Charlotte Pipe and Foundry Company and Randolph Holding Company, LLC	
13		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
17		
18	A&S LIQUIDATING INC., on behalf of	CASE NO 13-cv-04568-EMC (and all related cases)
19	itself and all others similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING THE
20	Plaintiff,	CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND DEADLINES UNDER FEDERAL
21	V.	RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26, AND EXTENDING TIME TO ANSWER OR
22	AB&I FOUNDRY, TYLER PIPE COMPANY, MCWANE, INC.,	OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINTS
2324	CHARLOTTE PIPE AND FOUNDRY COMPANY, and RANDOLPH HOLDING	[CIVIL LOCAL RULES 6-2 & 6-1]
25	COMPANY,	Courtroom: 5, 17th Floor
26	Defendants.	The Honorable Edward M. Chen
27		
28	[Caption continued on next page.]	

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

1 2	LAS VEGAS SUPPLY, INC., on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,	CASE NO. 13-cv-04792-EMC [Related Case]	
3	Plaintiff,	[Related Case]	
4	v.		
5	AB&I FOUNDRY, TYLER PIPE COMPANY, MCWANE, INC.,		
6	CHARLOTTE PIPE AND FOUNDRY COMPANY, and RANDOLPH HOLDING COMPANY,		
7	,		
8	Defendants.		
9	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
10	Based on the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED		
11	THAT:		
12	1. The CMC is continued, based on the Court's availability, until at least forty-five		
13	(45) days after the JPML resolves the MDL Motion. to 6/19/14 at 9:00 a.m.		
14	2. The Parties' meet-and-confer deadline pursuant to Rule 26(f) is continued until 21		
15	days after the JPML resolves the MDL Motion.		
16	3. The deadline for the Parties' Rule 26(f) report and initial disclosures is continued		
17	until fourteen (14) days after the Parties' Rule 26(f) conference has concluded.		
18	4. The time for Defendants to an	nswer or otherwise respond to the Complaint is	
19	extended to twenty-one (21) days after (a) Plaintiffs' file a consolidated complaint, or (b) if the		
20	extended to twenty-one (21) days after (a) Plaintiffs' file a consolidated complaint, or (b) if the JPML denies the MDL Motion and this Court does not consolidate these related cases, twenty-one (21) days after this Court denies the motions to consolidate these related cases, twenty-one (21) days after this Court denies the motions to consolidate these related cases, twenty-one (21) days after this Court denies the motions to consolidate these related cases, twenty-one (21) days after this Court denies the motions to consolidate these related cases, twenty-one (21) days after this Court denies the motions to consolidate.		
21	one (21) days after this Court denies the motions to consolidate TES DISTRICT		
22	12/19/13		
23	Dated:	IT IS SO ORDERED . Cher	
24		dga	
25	Judge Edward M. Chen		
26			
27		PANDISTRICT OF CE	
28		121KIC.	

LATHAM&WATKINS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO